

**REMARKS**

By this amendment, claims 1 and 2 have been changed to better define Applicant's invention, and new claims 3-15 have been added.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102b over Sevrain (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0049279). Among other differences, however, Sevrain fails to disclose "gliding arms." Item 36 instead forms part of a joint mechanism.

Claim 2 was rejected over Sevrain in view of Justin et al. ('744). The Examiner's argument fails to establish *prima facie* obviousness, and Applicant believes the Examiner is confusing a recited structural limitation with "an obvious design choice."

Based on the foregoing, Applicant believes that all claims are now in condition for allowance. Questions may be directed to Applicant's attorney at the telephone and/or facsimile numbers provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

John G. Posa

Reg. No. 37,424

Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle,  
Anderson & Citkowski, PC

P.O. Box 7021

Troy, Michigan 48007-7021

TELE: (734) 913-9300/FAX: (734) 913-6007

Date: September 5, 2006